

# PINKOLA IN AGAIN

Added Starter Wins the Big Empire City Handicap.

STAKE IS WORTH \$15,000

Beats Out Frank Gill by Length and a Half—Magazine, at 7 to 1, Made All the Running in Handicap and Won Easily—Cymbal Beat Dark Night, the Favorite, in First.

New York, Aug. 22.—Despite the rainy weather, 10,000 persons witnessed the running of the \$15,000 Empire City Handicap, one and one-eighth miles, at the Yonkers track today. The track was heavy.

The \$15,000 stake drew a fine field to the barrier. Frank Gill was the favorite. Pinkola was an added starter, and was quoted at 5 to 1. He ran a magnificent race, and won. The race was closely contested throughout, and when the horses came into the homestretch it was anybody's race. King James was leading, with Jack Atkin, Beaucoup, Pinkola, and Gretina Green close together and all running gamely.

Then Butler on Pinkola began to make his run, with the result that Pinkola, perfectly handled, drew away and won by a length and a half. Frank Gill, under a rather weak ride, loomed up just then with a wonderful rush through the stretch and nailed the place.

Magazine, 7 to 1, made all the pace in the handicap at a mile, and won easily, while Cymbal, 5 to 1, won the first by a head from Darknight, the favorite. Ragman won the second and Wise Mason the fifth.

Four favorites lost.

## The Summaries.

FIRST RACE—Selling, three furlongs; one and one-eighth miles. Cymbal, 5 to 1, won; Dark Night, 10 to 1, second; King James, 3 to 1, third; Gretina Green, 12 to 1, fourth; 1:24.4.

SECOND RACE—Two furlongs; selling, six furlongs. Ragman, 34 to 1, won; Wise Mason, 13 to 1, second; Darknight, 5 to 1, third; 1:25.3.

THIRD RACE—Two furlongs; selling, one mile. Magazine, 7 to 1, won; Tony Brown, 30 to 1, second; Pinkola, 5 to 1, third; 1:30.2.

FOURTH RACE—Empire City Handicap, value \$15,000, one and one-eighth miles. Pinkola, 5 to 1, won; Frank Gill, 12 to 1, second; King James, 3 to 1, third; 2:05.1.

FIFTH RACE—Three furlongs; selling, one mile. Wise Mason, 10 to 1, won; Ragman, 10 to 1, second; Tony Brown, 10 to 1, third; 1:30.2.

SIXTH RACE—Three furlongs; selling, one mile. Ragman, 10 to 1, won; Tony Brown, 10 to 1, second; Pinkola, 5 to 1, third; 1:30.2.

SEVENTH RACE—Three furlongs; selling, one mile. Ragman, 10 to 1, won; Tony Brown, 10 to 1, second; Pinkola, 5 to 1, third; 1:30.2.

EIGHTH RACE—Three furlongs; selling, one mile. Ragman, 10 to 1, won; Tony Brown, 10 to 1, second; Pinkola, 5 to 1, third; 1:30.2.

NINTH RACE—Three furlongs; selling, one mile. Ragman, 10 to 1, won; Tony Brown, 10 to 1, second; Pinkola, 5 to 1, third; 1:30.2.

TENTH RACE—Three furlongs; selling, one mile. Ragman, 10 to 1, won; Tony Brown, 10 to 1, second; Pinkola, 5 to 1, third; 1:30.2.

ELEVENTH RACE—Three furlongs; selling, one mile. Ragman, 10 to 1, won; Tony Brown, 10 to 1, second; Pinkola, 5 to 1, third; 1:30.2.

Twelfth RACE—Three furlongs; selling, one mile. Ragman, 10 to 1, won; Tony Brown, 10 to 1, second; Pinkola, 5 to 1, third; 1:30.2.

Thirteenth RACE—Three furlongs; selling, one mile. Ragman, 10 to 1, won; Tony Brown, 10 to 1, second; Pinkola, 5 to 1, third; 1:30.2.

Fourteenth RACE—Three furlongs; selling, one mile. Ragman, 10 to 1, won; Tony Brown, 10 to 1, second; Pinkola, 5 to 1, third; 1:30.2.

Fifteenth RACE—Three furlongs; selling, one mile. Ragman, 10 to 1, won; Tony Brown, 10 to 1, second; Pinkola, 5 to 1, third; 1:30.2.

Sixteenth RACE—Three furlongs; selling, one mile. Ragman, 10 to 1, won; Tony Brown, 10 to 1, second; Pinkola, 5 to 1, third; 1:30.2.

Seventeenth RACE—Three furlongs; selling, one mile. Ragman, 10 to 1, won; Tony Brown, 10 to 1, second; Pinkola, 5 to 1, third; 1:30.2.

Eighteenth RACE—Three furlongs; selling, one mile. Ragman, 10 to 1, won; Tony Brown, 10 to 1, second; Pinkola, 5 to 1, third; 1:30.2.

Nineteenth RACE—Three furlongs; selling, one mile. Ragman, 10 to 1, won; Tony Brown, 10 to 1, second; Pinkola, 5 to 1, third; 1:30.2.

Twentieth RACE—Three furlongs; selling, one mile. Ragman, 10 to 1, won; Tony Brown, 10 to 1, second; Pinkola, 5 to 1, third; 1:30.2.

Twenty-first RACE—Three furlongs; selling, one mile. Ragman, 10 to 1, won; Tony Brown, 10 to 1, second; Pinkola, 5 to 1, third; 1:30.2.

Twenty-second RACE—Three furlongs; selling, one mile. Ragman, 10 to 1, won; Tony Brown, 10 to 1, second; Pinkola, 5 to 1, third; 1:30.2.

Twenty-third RACE—Three furlongs; selling, one mile. Ragman, 10 to 1, won; Tony Brown, 10 to 1, second; Pinkola, 5 to 1, third; 1:30.2.

Twenty-fourth RACE—Three furlongs; selling, one mile. Ragman, 10 to 1, won; Tony Brown, 10 to 1, second; Pinkola, 5 to 1, third; 1:30.2.

Twenty-fifth RACE—Three furlongs; selling, one mile. Ragman, 10 to 1, won; Tony Brown, 10 to 1, second; Pinkola, 5 to 1, third; 1:30.2.

Twenty-sixth RACE—Three furlongs; selling, one mile. Ragman, 10 to 1, won; Tony Brown, 10 to 1, second; Pinkola, 5 to 1, third; 1:30.2.

Twenty-seventh RACE—Three furlongs; selling, one mile. Ragman, 10 to 1, won; Tony Brown, 10 to 1, second; Pinkola, 5 to 1, third; 1:30.2.

Twenty-eighth RACE—Three furlongs; selling, one mile. Ragman, 10 to 1, won; Tony Brown, 10 to 1, second; Pinkola, 5 to 1, third; 1:30.2.

Twenty-ninth RACE—Three furlongs; selling, one mile. Ragman, 10 to 1, won; Tony Brown, 10 to 1, second; Pinkola, 5 to 1, third; 1:30.2.

## MEETING AT BURKE TRACK.

Elaborate Programme of Races Arranged for Labor Day.

An elaborate programme of races has been arranged for his new track at Burke, Va. The first event of the day will be a free-for-all trot and pace, purse, \$100; the second a trot and pace, purse, \$100; the third event being a three-minute trot and pace, purse, \$50.

Three running events are also announced. The first will be a dash of five furlongs for a purse of \$75; the second a six and one-half furlong dash, purse, \$75; and the third event a one-mile dash for a purse of the same size. B. F. McCaulley has been engaged as starter.

The festivities of the forenoon will include a baseball game, which will begin at 10 o'clock, to conclude with a tournament that will begin at noon. The prize for the winner in the tournament is \$50, and the club that wins the baseball game sets a prize of \$10.

A special train will leave the Union Station at 11:10, and will arrive at Burke at 1:35. The return train will leave Burke at 6:35 p. m., arriving at Washington at 7 p. m.

## DANFORTH WINS BEST MATCH

Plays Strong Game Against Hough at Columbia Club.

Newman Defeats Winter in Well-played Match on Brightwood Avenue Course.

The match between M. E. Danforth and J. T. Hough was the best played in the third round of the August Handicap Tournament of the Columbia Golf Club last week. Danforth played a strong game against Hough, and won, 3 up, 2 to play.

The match between A. Winter and E. A. Newman also brought out some good golf. Newman was successful against Harris Brown, 4 up, 2 to play, while the E. B. Eymon-A. B. Heaton match was not completed.

In the second sixteen, Moses and Chamer played the best match, Moses being 1 up at the finish. Over walked away with Allen, winning by 7 up.

The result in the third round of the August Handicap of the first sixteen follows: P. S. Appleman defeated Harris Brown, 4 up, 2 to play; E. A. Newman defeated J. T. Hough, 3 up, 1 to play; M. E. Danforth defeated J. T. Hough, 3 up, 1 to play; A. B. Heaton vs. E. B. Eymon, not played.

The results in the third round of the August Handicap of the second sixteen follows: F. S. Appleman defeated Harris Brown, 4 up, 2 to play; E. A. Newman defeated J. T. Hough, 3 up, 1 to play; M. E. Danforth defeated J. T. Hough, 3 up, 1 to play; A. B. Heaton vs. E. B. Eymon, not played.

The results in the third round of the August Handicap of the third sixteen follows: F. S. Appleman defeated Harris Brown, 4 up, 2 to play; E. A. Newman defeated J. T. Hough, 3 up, 1 to play; M. E. Danforth defeated J. T. Hough, 3 up, 1 to play; A. B. Heaton vs. E. B. Eymon, not played.

The results in the third round of the August Handicap of the fourth sixteen follows: F. S. Appleman defeated Harris Brown, 4 up, 2 to play; E. A. Newman defeated J. T. Hough, 3 up, 1 to play; M. E. Danforth defeated J. T. Hough, 3 up, 1 to play; A. B. Heaton vs. E. B. Eymon, not played.

The results in the third round of the August Handicap of the fifth sixteen follows: F. S. Appleman defeated Harris Brown, 4 up, 2 to play; E. A. Newman defeated J. T. Hough, 3 up, 1 to play; M. E. Danforth defeated J. T. Hough, 3 up, 1 to play; A. B. Heaton vs. E. B. Eymon, not played.

The results in the third round of the August Handicap of the sixth sixteen follows: F. S. Appleman defeated Harris Brown, 4 up, 2 to play; E. A. Newman defeated J. T. Hough, 3 up, 1 to play; M. E. Danforth defeated J. T. Hough, 3 up, 1 to play; A. B. Heaton vs. E. B. Eymon, not played.

The results in the third round of the August Handicap of the seventh sixteen follows: F. S. Appleman defeated Harris Brown, 4 up, 2 to play; E. A. Newman defeated J. T. Hough, 3 up, 1 to play; M. E. Danforth defeated J. T. Hough, 3 up, 1 to play; A. B. Heaton vs. E. B. Eymon, not played.

The results in the third round of the August Handicap of the eighth sixteen follows: F. S. Appleman defeated Harris Brown, 4 up, 2 to play; E. A. Newman defeated J. T. Hough, 3 up, 1 to play; M. E. Danforth defeated J. T. Hough, 3 up, 1 to play; A. B. Heaton vs. E. B. Eymon, not played.

The results in the third round of the August Handicap of the ninth sixteen follows: F. S. Appleman defeated Harris Brown, 4 up, 2 to play; E. A. Newman defeated J. T. Hough, 3 up, 1 to play; M. E. Danforth defeated J. T. Hough, 3 up, 1 to play; A. B. Heaton vs. E. B. Eymon, not played.

The results in the third round of the August Handicap of the tenth sixteen follows: F. S. Appleman defeated Harris Brown, 4 up, 2 to play; E. A. Newman defeated J. T. Hough, 3 up, 1 to play; M. E. Danforth defeated J. T. Hough, 3 up, 1 to play; A. B. Heaton vs. E. B. Eymon, not played.

The results in the third round of the August Handicap of the eleventh sixteen follows: F. S. Appleman defeated Harris Brown, 4 up, 2 to play; E. A. Newman defeated J. T. Hough, 3 up, 1 to play; M. E. Danforth defeated J. T. Hough, 3 up, 1 to play; A. B. Heaton vs. E. B. Eymon, not played.

The results in the third round of the August Handicap of the twelfth sixteen follows: F. S. Appleman defeated Harris Brown, 4 up, 2 to play; E. A. Newman defeated J. T. Hough, 3 up, 1 to play; M. E. Danforth defeated J. T. Hough, 3 up, 1 to play; A. B. Heaton vs. E. B. Eymon, not played.

The results in the third round of the August Handicap of the thirteenth sixteen follows: F. S. Appleman defeated Harris Brown, 4 up, 2 to play; E. A. Newman defeated J. T. Hough, 3 up, 1 to play; M. E. Danforth defeated J. T. Hough, 3 up, 1 to play; A. B. Heaton vs. E. B. Eymon, not played.

The results in the third round of the August Handicap of the fourteenth sixteen follows: F. S. Appleman defeated Harris Brown, 4 up, 2 to play; E. A. Newman defeated J. T. Hough, 3 up, 1 to play; M. E. Danforth defeated J. T. Hough, 3 up, 1 to play; A. B. Heaton vs. E. B. Eymon, not played.

The results in the third round of the August Handicap of the fifteenth sixteen follows: F. S. Appleman defeated Harris Brown, 4 up, 2 to play; E. A. Newman defeated J. T. Hough, 3 up, 1 to play; M. E. Danforth defeated J. T. Hough, 3 up, 1 to play; A. B. Heaton vs. E. B. Eymon, not played.

The results in the third round of the August Handicap of the sixteenth sixteen follows: F. S. Appleman defeated Harris Brown, 4 up, 2 to play; E. A. Newman defeated J. T. Hough, 3 up, 1 to play; M. E. Danforth defeated J. T. Hough, 3 up, 1 to play; A. B. Heaton vs. E. B. Eymon, not played.

The results in the third round of the August Handicap of the seventeenth sixteen follows: F. S. Appleman defeated Harris Brown, 4 up, 2 to play; E. A. Newman defeated J. T. Hough, 3 up, 1 to play; M. E. Danforth defeated J. T. Hough, 3 up, 1 to play; A. B. Heaton vs. E. B. Eymon, not played.

The results in the third round of the August Handicap of the eighteenth sixteen follows: F. S. Appleman defeated Harris Brown, 4 up, 2 to play; E. A. Newman defeated J. T. Hough, 3 up, 1 to play; M. E. Danforth defeated J. T. Hough, 3 up, 1 to play; A. B. Heaton vs. E. B. Eymon, not played.

The results in the third round of the August Handicap of the nineteenth sixteen follows: F. S. Appleman defeated Harris Brown, 4 up, 2 to play; E. A. Newman defeated J. T. Hough, 3 up, 1 to play; M. E. Danforth defeated J. T. Hough, 3 up, 1 to play; A. B. Heaton vs. E. B. Eymon, not played.

The results in the third round of the August Handicap of the twentieth sixteen follows: F. S. Appleman defeated Harris Brown, 4 up, 2 to play; E. A. Newman defeated J. T. Hough, 3 up, 1 to play; M. E. Danforth defeated J. T. Hough, 3 up, 1 to play; A. B. Heaton vs. E. B. Eymon, not played.

The results in the third round of the August Handicap of the twenty-first sixteen follows: F. S. Appleman defeated Harris Brown, 4 up, 2 to play; E. A. Newman defeated J. T. Hough, 3 up, 1 to play; M. E. Danforth defeated J. T. Hough, 3 up, 1 to play; A. B. Heaton vs. E. B. Eymon, not played.

The results in the third round of the August Handicap of the twenty-second sixteen follows: F. S. Appleman defeated Harris Brown, 4 up, 2 to play; E. A. Newman defeated J. T. Hough, 3 up, 1 to play; M. E. Danforth defeated J. T. Hough, 3 up, 1 to play; A. B. Heaton vs. E. B. Eymon, not played.

The results in the third round of the August Handicap of the twenty-third sixteen follows: F. S. Appleman defeated Harris Brown, 4 up, 2 to play; E. A. Newman defeated J. T. Hough, 3 up, 1 to play; M. E. Danforth defeated J. T. Hough, 3 up, 1 to play; A. B. Heaton vs. E. B. Eymon, not played.

The results in the third round of the August Handicap of the twenty-fourth sixteen follows: F. S. Appleman defeated Harris Brown, 4 up, 2 to play; E. A. Newman defeated J. T. Hough, 3 up, 1 to play; M. E. Danforth defeated J. T. Hough, 3 up, 1 to play; A. B. Heaton vs. E. B. Eymon, not played.

The results in the third round of the August Handicap of the twenty-fifth sixteen follows: F. S. Appleman defeated Harris Brown, 4 up, 2 to play; E. A. Newman defeated J. T. Hough, 3 up, 1 to play; M. E. Danforth defeated J. T. Hough, 3 up, 1 to play; A. B. Heaton vs. E. B. Eymon, not played.

The results in the third round of the August Handicap of the twenty-sixth sixteen follows: F. S. Appleman defeated Harris Brown, 4 up, 2 to play; E. A. Newman defeated J. T. Hough, 3 up, 1 to play; M. E. Danforth defeated J. T. Hough, 3 up, 1 to play; A. B. Heaton vs. E. B. Eymon, not played.

## PLAYING STRONG GAME IN INDEPENDENCE LEAGUE.



MANHATTAN TEAM.

Standing—Brennan, left; Lyle, center; Frader, center field; Kraft, manager; Kraft, pitcher; Puntney, first base; Walsh, shortstop; Leth, center. On bench—L. Mat, third base; Chapman, catcher; Baker, pitcher; Webber, utility; Palmer, second base; Buckley, catcher.

On ground—Sissler, utility; Smith.

## GOLF UNDER A NEW SYSTEM

Garden City Tourney to Be Governed by Revised Code.

Recently Introduced Conditions Will Be Tried in Order to Give Equal Chance to All.

New York, Aug. 22.—Clubs affiliated with the United States Golf Association will by this time have posted the programme for the amateur championship on the bulletin board, so all aspiring members may ruminate over the conditions governing the competition.

Many methods have been tried during the twelve years the United States Golf Association has had the supervision of the meeting, and so far none has proved entirely satisfactory.

Until the championship of 1902 a thirty-six hole qualifying round in one day with thirty-four to continue at match play, was the rule. The drawback to this was the alternative of dispatching the first pair in the qualifying round at cock hour, or starting at a more rational hour with the probability of the late starters finishing their second round by candle light, as was the case at Morris County in 1908.

In 1902, at Glen View, an eighteen hole qualifying round for the first day, with thirty-four to continue at match play, was given a trial. This proved a failure, as Louis James, who was sixty-fourth man to qualify, won the title, after a scrambling series of eighteen hole match rounds.

The year following at Nassau the United States Golf Association committee went to another extreme and adopted the British system of all-match play. The result proved that the officials had gone from Scylla to Charybdis. In the first round there were no less than thirteen matches defaulted, while the plan also provided the exciting proposition of a man, J. D. Cady, of Rock Island, Ill., reaching the third round without playing a match. Interest, too, for the three days was impossible, and the venture was always being underlined in championship annals as the dulllest and profoundest failure of all the gatherings.

At Baltusrol in 1904 an eighteen-hole qualifying round the first day, with the best sixty-four scores to resume operations at thirty-six holes medal play the second day, was the order. The best thirty-two scores for the three rounds to continue at match play. This meeting is memorable, first, because Findlay Douglas and Frank Reinhardt were among those who failed to qualify after a tie for last place in the first round, because George Ormiston defeated W. J. Travis in the second round by three and one, after holding a mid-iron shot for two at the seventeenth, and also because it was the first time that a player had defaulted in the second round.

The system adopted was an improvement over all previous conditions, although its weakness lay in calling for a round too much at score play. At Wheaton, the next scene of the championship, the qualifying round was modified to a round each for the first two days.

At Baltusrol in 1904 an eighteen-hole qualifying round the first day, with the best sixty-four scores to resume operations at thirty-six holes medal play the second day, was the order. The best thirty-two scores for the three rounds to continue at match play. This meeting is memorable, first, because Findlay Douglas and Frank Reinhardt were among those who failed to qualify after a tie for last place in the first round, because George Ormiston defeated W. J. Travis in the second round by three and one, after holding a mid-iron shot for two at the seventeenth, and also because it was the first time that a player had defaulted in the second round.

The system adopted was an improvement over all previous conditions, although its weakness lay in calling for a round too much at score play. At Wheaton, the next scene of the championship, the qualifying round was modified to a round each for the first two days.

At Baltusrol in 1904 an eighteen-hole qualifying round the first day, with the best sixty-four scores to resume operations at thirty-six holes medal play the second day, was the order. The best thirty-two scores for the three rounds to continue at match play. This meeting is memorable, first, because Findlay Douglas and Frank Reinhardt were among those who failed to qualify after a tie for last place in the first round, because George Ormiston defeated W. J. Travis in the second round by three and one, after holding a mid-iron shot for two at the seventeenth, and also because it was the first time that a player had defaulted in the second round.

The system adopted was an improvement over all previous conditions, although its weakness lay in calling for a round too much at score play. At Wheaton, the next scene of the championship, the qualifying round was modified to a round each for the first two days.

At Baltusrol in 1904 an eighteen-hole qualifying round the first day, with the best sixty-four scores to resume operations at thirty-six holes medal play the second day, was the order. The best thirty-two scores for the three rounds to continue at match play. This meeting is memorable, first, because Findlay Douglas and Frank Reinhardt were among those who failed to qualify after a tie for last place in the first round, because George Ormiston defeated W. J. Travis in the second round by three and one, after holding a mid-iron shot for two at the seventeenth, and also because it was the first time that a player had defaulted in the second round.

The system adopted was an improvement over all previous conditions, although its weakness lay in calling for a round too much at score play. At Wheaton, the next scene of the championship, the qualifying round was modified to a round each for the first two days.

At Baltusrol in 1904 an eighteen-hole qualifying round the first day, with the best sixty-four scores to resume operations at thirty-six holes medal play the second day, was the order. The best thirty-two scores for the three rounds to continue at match play. This meeting is memorable, first, because Findlay Douglas and Frank Reinhardt were among those who failed to qualify after a tie for last place in the first round, because George Ormiston defeated W. J. Travis in the second round by three and one, after holding a mid-iron shot for two at the seventeenth, and also because it was the first time that a player had defaulted in the second round.

The system adopted was an improvement over all previous conditions, although its weakness lay in calling for a round too much at score play. At Wheaton, the next scene of the championship, the qualifying round was modified to a round each for the first two days.

At Baltusrol in 1904 an eighteen-hole qualifying round the first day, with the best sixty-four scores to resume operations at thirty-six holes medal play the second day, was the order. The best thirty-two scores for the three rounds to continue at match play. This meeting is memorable, first, because Findlay Douglas and Frank Reinhardt were among those who failed to qualify after a tie for last place in the first round, because George Ormiston defeated W. J. Travis in the second round by three and one, after holding a mid-iron shot for two at the seventeenth, and also because it was the first time that a player had defaulted in the second round.

The system adopted was an improvement over all previous conditions, although its weakness lay in calling for a round too much at score play. At Wheaton, the next scene of the championship, the qualifying round was modified to a round each for the first two days.

At Baltusrol in 1904 an eighteen-hole qualifying round the first day, with the best sixty-four scores to resume operations at thirty-six holes medal play the second day, was the order. The best thirty-two scores for the three rounds to continue at match play. This meeting is memorable, first, because Findlay Douglas and Frank Reinhardt were among those who failed to qualify after a tie for last place in the first round, because George Ormiston defeated W. J. Travis in the second round by three and one, after holding a mid-iron shot for two at the seventeenth, and also because it was the first time that a player had defaulted in the second round.

The system adopted was an improvement over all previous conditions, although its weakness lay in calling for a round too much at score play. At Wheaton, the next scene of the championship, the qualifying round was modified to a round each for the first two days.

At Baltusrol in 1904 an eighteen-hole qualifying round the first day, with the best sixty-four scores to resume operations at thirty-six holes medal play the second day, was the order. The best thirty-two scores for the three rounds to continue at match play. This meeting is memorable, first, because Findlay Douglas and Frank Reinhardt were among those who failed to qualify after a tie for last place in the first round, because George Ormiston defeated W. J. Travis in the second round by three and one, after holding a mid-iron shot for two at the seventeenth, and also because it was the first time that a player had defaulted in the second round.

The system adopted was an improvement over all previous conditions, although its weakness lay in calling for a round too much at score play. At Wheaton, the next scene of the championship, the qualifying round was modified to a round each for the first two days.

At Baltusrol in 1904 an eighteen-hole qualifying round the first day, with the best sixty-four scores to resume operations at thirty-six holes medal play the second day, was the order. The best thirty-two scores for the three rounds to continue at match play. This meeting is memorable, first, because Findlay Douglas and Frank Reinhardt were among those who failed to qualify after a tie for last place in the first round, because George Ormiston defeated W. J. Travis in the second round by three and one, after holding a mid-iron shot for two at the seventeenth, and also because it was the first time that a player had defaulted in the second round.

The system adopted was an improvement over all previous conditions, although its weakness lay in calling for a round too much at score play. At Wheaton, the next scene of the championship, the qualifying round was modified to a round each for the first two days.

At Baltusrol in 1904 an eighteen-hole qualifying round the first day, with the best sixty-four scores to resume operations at thirty-six holes medal play the second day, was the order. The best thirty-two scores for the three rounds to continue at match play. This meeting is memorable, first, because Findlay Douglas and Frank Reinhardt were among those who failed to qualify after a tie for last place in the first round, because George Ormiston defeated W. J. Travis in the second round by three and one, after holding a mid-iron shot for two at the seventeenth, and also because it was the first time that a player had defaulted in the second round.

The system adopted was an improvement over all previous conditions, although its weakness lay in calling for a round too much at score play. At Wheaton, the next scene of the championship, the qualifying round was modified to a round each for the first two days.

At Baltusrol in 1904 an eighteen-hole qualifying round the first day, with the best sixty-four scores to resume operations at thirty-six holes medal play the second day, was the order. The best thirty-two scores for the three rounds to continue at match play. This meeting is memorable, first, because Findlay Douglas and Frank Reinhardt were among those who failed to qualify after a tie for last place in the first round, because George Ormiston defeated W. J. Travis in the second round by three and one, after holding a mid-iron shot for two at the seventeenth, and also because it was the first time that a player had defaulted in the second round.

The system adopted was an improvement over all previous conditions, although its weakness lay in calling for a round too much at score play. At Wheaton, the next scene of the championship, the qualifying round was modified to a round each for the first two days.

## SOUTHERN LEAGUE

BATTING AVERAGES

The batting average of the Southern League players who are batting .300 or over are:

Player	AB.	R.	H.	SH.	BB.	PO.	ER.
Speiser, Little Rock	449	68	128	28	4	0	.333
Hilton, Mobile	39	3	9	0	0	0	.333
Lord, New Orleans	302	52	105	20	11	0	.338
Boyer, Atlanta	38	2	12	0	0	0	.316
Boyer, Little Rock	171	24	54	2	14	0	.313
Boyer, Birmingham	363	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Nashville	29	2	12	0	0	0	.308
Boyer, Memphis	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Louisville	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, St. Louis	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Cincinnati	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Cleveland	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Detroit	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Philadelphia	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Washington	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, New York	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Boston	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Chicago	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, San Francisco	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Los Angeles	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Houston	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, San Diego	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Oakland	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Kansas City	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Milwaukee	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Pittsburgh	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, St. Paul	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Minneapolis	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Kansas City	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Milwaukee	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Pittsburgh	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, St. Paul	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Minneapolis	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Kansas City	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Milwaukee	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Pittsburgh	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, St. Paul	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Minneapolis	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Kansas City	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Milwaukee	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Pittsburgh	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, St. Paul	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Minneapolis	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Kansas City	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Milwaukee	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Pittsburgh	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, St. Paul	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Minneapolis	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Kansas City	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Milwaukee	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Pittsburgh	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, St. Paul	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Minneapolis	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Kansas City	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Milwaukee	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Pittsburgh	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, St. Paul	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Minneapolis	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Kansas City	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Milwaukee	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Pittsburgh	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, St. Paul	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Minneapolis	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Kansas City	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Milwaukee	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Pittsburgh	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, St. Paul	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Minneapolis	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Kansas City	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Milwaukee	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Pittsburgh	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, St. Paul	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Minneapolis	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Kansas City	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Milwaukee	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Pittsburgh	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, St. Paul	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Minneapolis	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Kansas City	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Milwaukee	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Pittsburgh	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, St. Paul	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Minneapolis	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Kansas City	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Milwaukee	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Pittsburgh	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, St. Paul	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Minneapolis	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Kansas City	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Milwaukee	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Pittsburgh	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, St. Paul	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Minneapolis	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Kansas City	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Milwaukee	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Pittsburgh	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, St. Paul	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Minneapolis	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Kansas City	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Milwaukee	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Pittsburgh	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, St. Paul	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Minneapolis	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Kansas City	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Milwaukee	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Pittsburgh	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, St. Paul	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Minneapolis	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Kansas City	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Milwaukee	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Pittsburgh	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, St. Paul	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Minneapolis	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Kansas City	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Milwaukee	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Pittsburgh	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, St. Paul	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Minneapolis	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Kansas City	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Milwaukee	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Pittsburgh	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, St. Paul	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Minneapolis	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Kansas City	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Milwaukee	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Pittsburgh	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, St. Paul	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Minneapolis	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Kansas City	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Milwaukee	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Pittsburgh	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, St. Paul	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Minneapolis	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Kansas City	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Milwaukee	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Pittsburgh	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, St. Paul	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Minneapolis	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Kansas City	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Milwaukee	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Pittsburgh	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, St. Paul	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Minneapolis	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Kansas City	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Milwaukee	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Pittsburgh	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, St. Paul	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Minneapolis	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Kansas City	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Milwaukee	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Pittsburgh	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, St. Paul	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Minneapolis	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Kansas City	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Milwaukee	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Pittsburgh	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, St. Paul	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Minneapolis	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Kansas City	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Milwaukee	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Pittsburgh	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, St. Paul	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Minneapolis	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Kansas City	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Milwaukee	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Pittsburgh	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, St. Paul	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Minneapolis	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Kansas City	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Milwaukee	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Pittsburgh	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, St. Paul	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Minneapolis	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Kansas City	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Milwaukee	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Pittsburgh	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, St. Paul	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Minneapolis	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Kansas City	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Milwaukee	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Pittsburgh	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, St. Paul	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Minneapolis	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Kansas City	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Milwaukee	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Pittsburgh	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, St. Paul	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Minneapolis	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Kansas City	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Milwaukee	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, Pittsburgh	299	59	109	20	10	0	.308
Boyer, St. Paul	299						